permanent Judges and 4 Additional Judges are in position. The process of consultation among the concerned Constitutional authorities for filling up the vacancies of Judges in the Delhi High Court is on.

The information regarding Lower Courts in Delhi is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House after it has been received.

(c) and (d) A statement showing the number of vacancies of Judges in each High Court of the country as on 1-11-1991 is annexed (*See below*). The process of consultation among the concerned Constitutional authorities for filling up the existing vacancies of Judges in the High Courts is on.

Statement

S. No.	High Court	Vacancies as on 1.11.1991
1.	Allahabad	11
2.	Andhra Pradesh	6
3.	Bombay	7
4.	Calcutta	6
5.	Delhi	4
6.	Gauhati	—
7.	Gujarat	1
8.	Himachal Pradesh	—
9.	Jaamu and Kashmir	—
10.	Karnataka	5
11.	Kerala	2
12.	Madhya Pradesh	7
13.	Madras	3
14.	Orissa	—
15.	Patna	5
16.	Punjab and Haryana	2
17.	Rajasthan	—
18.	Sikkim	1
	TOTAL	60

Supreme Court judgement an Anti-defection law

451. SHRI DHULESHWAR MEENA: Will the Minister of LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have examined the various aspects of the Supreme Court judgement delivered on the 12th November, 1991 on the anti-defection law; and

(b) if so, what is Government's reaction thereto, particularly to the Court decision holding that the decisions of the Presiding Officers under the law could be subjected to judicial review and would not be final under law?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI RANGARAJAN KUMARAMANGALAM): (a) and (b) The copy of the judgement of the Supreme Court delivered on the anti-defection law is being made available to us in a couple of days. It requires detailed examination for any reaction thereto.

Conferring Powers of Court of Records in MRTPC

452. SHRI HARVENDRA SINGH HANSPAL: Will the Minister of LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether due to inadequate powers with the MRTPC, the violations are increasing;

(b) if so, whether Government propose to grant MRTPC the status of a Court of Records and empower it to prosecute parties violating its order; and